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#### Abstract

In this paper we extend some results regarding the asymptotic behaviour of a class of nonlocal nonlinear parabolic problems, which have been previously considered in [7]. In particular, we obtain a local stability result for isolated local minima of the energy functional associated to this class of problems.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the asymptotic behaviour of the solution $u=u(x, t)$ of the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\nabla \cdot a\left(\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\right)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u=f & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T),  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \Gamma \times(0, T), \\ u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0} & \text { in } \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 1$ with Lipschitz boundary $\Gamma$. In what follows we assume $a^{\prime}$ is continuous and that there exist constants $\lambda, \Lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lambda \leq a(\mu) \leq \Lambda \quad \forall \mu \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $|\cdot|_{p}$ we denote the $L^{p}(\Omega)$-norm, $2 \leq p<+\infty$ and we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=f(x) \in L^{2}(\Omega), u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The motivation to study this type of problems can be found in [1], [3] -[8] and the references therein. This problem has been considered in our previous work [7], where the existence and uniqueness of a weak solution has been obtained and the question of the asymptotic behaviour has been addressed. In particular, we know that if the stationary problem has a unique solution, then the solution of problem (1.1) converges to this unique equilibrium.

[^0]However, it has been shown that the corresponding stationary problem may have from one up to a continuum of solutions, which are also critical points of the energy functional:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(u)=\frac{1}{p} A\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)-\int_{\Omega} f u d x \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(z)=\int_{0}^{z} a(s) d s \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, in [7] it was shown that the critical points can be either local minima or saddle points of the energy functional (1.4), depending on the function $a$ (see Figure 1.1 and (4.3)). We already know [7] that an isolated global minimum of $E$ is asymptotically stable. The
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Figure 1.1
main result of this paper is the following theorem:
Theorem 1.1. Under the assumptions above the isolated local minimizers of the energy $E$ defined by (1.4) are asymptotically stable.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next Section we formulate and prove some auxiliary lemmas, which are used throughout the paper. In Section 3 existence, uniqueness of a strong solution and its convergence to a stationary solution is shown. In the last Section we describe the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Some auxiliary lemmas

Lemma 2.1. Let $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a continuous function with $g(x)>0 \forall x>0$ or such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \alpha>0 \text { small, } \quad \sup _{[\alpha, 2 \alpha]} g=C_{\alpha}>0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y$, $h$ be nonnegative functions, $y$ continuous such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{+\infty} y(s) d s, \int_{0}^{+\infty} h(s) d s<+\infty,  \tag{2.2}\\
& \\
& y(t)-y(s) \leq \int_{s}^{t}(g(y(\xi))+h(\xi)) d \xi, \quad \forall s<t
\end{align*}
$$

Then it holds that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y(t)=0
$$

Proof. From the condition $\int_{0}^{+\infty} y(s) d s$ we have that $\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y(t)=0$.
Suppose that $\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y(t)>0$ and choose $\alpha$ such that $\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y(t)>2 \alpha$. By the mean value theorem one can find a sequence of disjoint intervals $\left.\begin{array}{c}t \rightarrow+\infty \\ \left(t_{n}, t_{n}^{\prime}\right.\end{array}\right), t_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ such that

$$
y\left(t_{n}\right)=\alpha \leq y(t) \leq 2 \alpha=y\left(t_{n}^{\prime}\right) \quad \forall t \in\left(t_{n}, t_{n}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Then from the last inequality of (2.2) and (2.1) it holds that

$$
\alpha=y\left(t_{n}^{\prime}\right)-y\left(t_{n}\right) \leq \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n}^{\prime}} g(y(s)) d s+\int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n}^{\prime}} h(s) d s \leq C_{\alpha}\left(t_{n}^{\prime}-t_{n}\right)+\int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n}^{\prime}} h(s) d s
$$

For $n \geq n_{0}$ large enough, by (2.2), $\int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n}^{\prime}} h(s) d s \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and from above we get

$$
t_{n}^{\prime}-t_{n} \geq \frac{\alpha}{2 C_{\alpha}}
$$

It follows that

$$
\int_{t_{n_{0}}}^{+\infty} y(s) d s \geq \sum_{n \geq n_{0}} \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n}^{\prime}} y(s) d s \geq \sum_{n \geq n_{0}} \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 C_{\alpha}}=+\infty
$$

and a contradiction.
Lemma 2.2. Let $p \geq 2, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-s)|a+s b|^{p-2}|b|^{2} d s \geq \frac{1}{8(18)^{\frac{p}{2}}}|b|^{p} .
$$

Proof.
(i) Let us first assume that $|a| \geq|b|$. Then we have that

$$
|a+s b| \geq|a|-s|b| \geq|b|-s|b|=(1-s)|b|, \quad s \in[0,1] .
$$

Consider now

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-s)|a+s b|^{p-2}|b|^{2} d s \geq \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{p-1}|b|^{p} d s=\frac{|b|^{p}}{p}
$$

and the statement of the lemma holds.
(ii) Let now $|a|<|b|$. Then we see

$$
|a+s b| \leq|a|+s|b|<(1+s)|b| \leq 2|b| \quad s \in[0,1] .
$$

Hence,

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-s)|a+s b|^{p-2}|b|^{2} d s=\int_{0}^{1}(1-s) \frac{|a+s b|^{p}}{|a+s b|^{2}}|b|^{2} d s \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)|a+s b|^{p} d s
$$

Since $\int_{0}^{1} 2(1-s) d s=1$ and $X \rightarrow X^{\frac{p}{2}}$ is convex by Jensens's inequality we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}(1-s)\left(|a+s b|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d s \geq \frac{1}{2} & \left(\int_{0}^{1} 2(1-s)\left(|a|^{2}+2 s a b+s^{2}|b|^{2}\right) d s\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(|a|^{2}+\frac{2}{3} a b+\frac{1}{6}|b|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(|a|^{2}-\frac{2}{3}|a||b|+\frac{1}{6}|b|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Young inequality $a b \leq \frac{3 a^{2}}{2}+\frac{b^{2}}{6}$ and combining the two inequalities above we obtain the statement of the lemma.

## 3. Asymptotic behaviour and regularity

Theorem 3.1. Let the assumptions above hold. Then for any $T>0$ there exists a unique $L^{2}$-strong solution $u$ of (1.1) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in C\left([0, T] ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right), \quad u_{t}, \nabla \cdot|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $u(t)=u(\cdot, t)$ converges to a stationary point in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ when $t$ goes to infinity. Proof. Consider $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}, \ldots$ a basis in $W^{2,2(p-1)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{i} \in H_{0}^{s}(\Omega),\left(\varphi_{i}, v\right)_{H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)}=\mu_{i}\left(\varphi_{i}, v\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \forall v \in H_{0}^{s}(\Omega) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is chosen in such a way that $H_{0}^{s}(\Omega) \subset W^{2,2(p-1)}(\Omega)$ (see [11]). We will suppose that $\varphi_{i}$ are orthonormal in $L^{2}(\Omega)\left(W^{2,2(p-1)}(\Omega) \subset L^{2}(\Omega)\right.$, since $\left.p \geq 2\right)$. If $u_{0}=\sum_{i} \beta_{i} \varphi_{i}$ consider

$$
u_{n}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}(t) \varphi_{i}
$$

solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\prime} v d x+a\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla v d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x  \tag{3.3}\\
\forall v \in\left[\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right] \\
u_{n}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \varphi_{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left[\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right]$ is the space spanned by $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}$. Taking $v=\varphi_{j}$ and using the fact that the $\varphi_{i}$ 's are orthonormal we see that (3.3) is equivalent to the Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{j}^{\prime}(t)=-a\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}(t) \nabla \varphi_{i}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}(t) \nabla \varphi_{i}\right|^{p-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}(t) \nabla \varphi_{i} \nabla \varphi_{j} d x  \tag{3.4}\\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega} f \varphi_{j} d x, \quad \forall j=1, \ldots n
\end{align*} \quad \begin{array}{l} 
\\
\gamma_{j}(0)=\beta_{j}, \quad \forall j=1, \ldots n
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the existence theorem for ordinary differential equations this Cauchy problem possesses a solution $\gamma_{j} \in C^{2}([0, \delta)), \delta>0$. Taking $v=u_{n}^{\prime}$ in (3.3) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{d}{d t} E\left(u_{n}(t)\right)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is defined by (1.4). By integration we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x d t=E\left(u_{n}(0)\right)-E\left(u_{n}(t)\right) \leq C \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $E$ is uniformly bounded from below. Indeed, from (1.4) using (1.2), Hölder's and Young's inequalities and the fact that $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \subset L^{2}(\Omega)$ for $p \geq 2$ we have that

$$
E\left(u_{n}\right) \geq \frac{\lambda}{p}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}-|f|_{2}\left|u_{n}\right|_{2} \geq \frac{\lambda}{p}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}-C|f|_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p} \geq-\frac{\left(C|f|_{2}\right)^{q}}{q \lambda^{q-1}}
$$

Hence, (3.6) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)=L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \quad Q_{T}=(0, T) \times \Omega . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now differentiate (3.3) with respect to $t$ and since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}=\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}=\frac{p}{2}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} & \frac{d}{d t}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{p}{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} 2 \nabla u_{n} \nabla u_{n}^{\prime}=p\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u_{n}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\prime \prime} v d x+ & +p a^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u_{n}^{\prime} d x \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla v d x \\
& +a\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}(p-2)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-4} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u_{n}^{\prime} \nabla u_{n} \nabla v+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}^{\prime} \nabla v d x=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $v=u_{n}^{\prime}$ and noting that the last term is nonnegative we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \leq-p a^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u_{n}^{\prime} d x\right)^{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first equation in (3.3) written with $v=u_{n}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
a\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u_{n}^{\prime} d x=\int_{\Omega} f u_{n}^{\prime} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x
$$

and from (3.8) follows

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \leq p \frac{\left|a^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)\right|}{a^{2}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)}\left(\int_{\Omega} f u_{n}^{\prime} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{2}
$$

Since $E\left(u_{n}\right)$ is uniformly bounded so is $\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}$. Due to the fact that $a \in C^{1}$ from Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $y_{n}(t)=\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{2}^{2}$. Integrating (3.9) we get

$$
y_{n}(t)-y_{n}(s) \leq 2 C \int_{s}^{t}\left(|f|_{2}^{2}+y_{n}(\xi)\right) y_{n}(\xi) d \xi
$$

Passing to the limit in (3.6) as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ we obtain that

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} y_{n}(s) d s<+\infty
$$

Hence, since $g(x)=2 C\left(|f|_{2}^{2} x+x^{2}\right)>0$ on $x>0$ from Lemma 2.1 we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $y_{n}$ remains bounded in time. Remark that

$$
\nabla \cdot|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u=|\nabla u|^{p-2} \Delta u+(p-2)|\nabla u|^{p-4} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} u_{x_{i}} u_{x_{j}} u_{x_{i} x_{j}}
$$

Applying twice the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left.\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \cdot| \nabla u\right|^{p-2} \nabla u\right|^{2} d x \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2 p-4}|\Delta u|^{2} d x\right. &  \tag{3.11}\\
& \left.+(p-2)^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2 p-4} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} u_{x_{i} x_{j}}^{2} d x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From Hölder's inequality with the exponents $\frac{p-1}{p-2}, p-1$ we get that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2 p-4}|\Delta u|^{2} d x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2(p-1)} d x\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{2(p-1)} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}
$$

We can estimate the second term in (3.11) in a similar way. Thus, since $\varphi_{j} \in W^{2,2(p-1)}(\Omega)$, we can multiply the first equation in (3.4) by $\varphi_{j} \nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}$, then integrating over $\Omega$ and summing in $j$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} u_{n}^{\prime} d x=a\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} & \left(\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \varphi_{j} d x\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} f \varphi_{j} d x \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \varphi_{j} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}$ are orthonormal in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ the equality above can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u_{n}^{\prime} d x+a\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)\left|P_{n}\left(\nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right)\right|_{2}^{2} & \\
& =-\int_{\Omega} P_{n} f \nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{n}$ denotes a projection operator from $L^{2}(\Omega)$ onto $\left[\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right]$. Then from (1.2), Hölder's and Young's inequalities we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\lambda\left|P_{n}\left(\nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right)\right|_{2}^{2} \leq\left|\left(f, P_{n}\left(\nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq|f|_{2}\left|P_{n}\left(\nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right)\right|_{2} \leq \frac{|f|_{2}^{2}}{2 \lambda}+\frac{\lambda\left|P_{n}\left(\nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right)\right|_{2}^{2}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left|P_{n}\left(\nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right)\right|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{|f|_{2}^{2}}{2 \lambda}
$$

And after integration in time

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left|P_{n}\left(\nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right)\right|_{2}^{2} d t \leq \frac{1}{p}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{|f|_{2}^{2} T}{2 \lambda} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.7), (3.12) follow that we can find a subsequence of $n$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{n}^{\prime} \rightharpoonup u^{\prime} \text { in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \\
P_{n}\left(\nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup \chi \text { in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

One can prove (see a proof of existence for a weak solution [7]) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \rightharpoonup \nabla \cdot|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \text { in } L^{q}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, q}(\Omega)\right) \subset L^{q}\left(0, T ; H^{-s}(\Omega)\right), \\
\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p} \rightarrow\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \text { a.e. } t .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $w \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, then $P_{n} w \in\left[\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right]$. Taking now in (3.3) $v=P_{n} w$ and passing to the limit ( $P_{n} w \rightarrow w$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, see [12]), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{\prime} w d x-a\left(\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega} \chi w d x=\int_{\Omega} f w d x \quad \forall w \in L^{2}(\Omega) \text { in } D^{\prime}(0, T)
$$

Remark that for $w \in H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)$ it holds that $P_{n} w \rightarrow w$ in $H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)$. Indeed,

$$
w=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\varphi_{j}, w\right) \varphi_{j}
$$

and due to (3.2) we get that

$$
\|w\|_{H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\left(\varphi_{j}, w\right)\right|^{2} \mu_{j}<+\infty
$$

Then

$$
\left\|P_{n} w-w\right\|_{H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}=\left\|\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty}\left(\varphi_{j}, w\right) \varphi_{j}\right\|_{H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}=\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty}\left|\left(\varphi_{j}, w\right)\right|^{2} \mu_{j} \rightarrow 0
$$

Therefore for $w \in H_{0}^{s}(\Omega), \varphi \in D(0, T)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \chi w \varphi d x d t=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} P_{n}\left(\nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right) w \varphi d x d t \\
& \quad=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} P_{n} w \varphi d x d t=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u w \varphi d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\chi=\nabla \cdot|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u$ and $u$ is a solution to (1.1) and

$$
u_{t}-a\left(\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\right) \nabla \cdot|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u=f \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega)
$$

It remains to show that $u \in C\left([0, T] ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$. By rescaling the time in the following way, setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(t)=\int_{0}^{t} a\left(\|\nabla u(\cdot, s)\|_{p}^{p}\right) d s \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we reduce solving the problem (1.1) to solving the problem (see [9], [7]):

$$
\begin{cases}w_{t}-\nabla \cdot|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w=\frac{f}{a\left(\|\nabla w\|_{p}^{p}\right)} & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \alpha(T)),  \tag{3.14}\\ w=0 & \text { on } \Gamma \times(0, \alpha(T)), \\ w(\cdot, 0)=u_{0} & \text { in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $w(x, \alpha(t))=u(x, t)$. Then (we keep denoting the solution by $u$ ) multiplying the first equation in (3.14) by $u_{t}$ and integrating over $\Omega$ we get

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla u_{t} d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{f u_{t}}{a\left(\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{p}^{p}\right)} d x
$$

Using (1.2) and Hölder's and Young's inequalities we obtain

$$
\left|u_{t}\right|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d t}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}|f|_{2}\left|u_{t}\right|_{2} \leq \frac{|f|_{2}^{2}}{2 \lambda^{2}}+\frac{\left|u_{t}\right|_{2}^{2}}{2}
$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \leq C|f|_{2}^{2}
$$

Integrating from $t_{0}$ to $t$ we deduce

$$
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left\|\nabla u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}+C|f|_{2}^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right)
$$

Hence, letting $t \rightarrow t_{0}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow t_{0}}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{p} \leq\left\|\nabla u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{p} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that

$$
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{p} \leq C \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

thus for a subsequence

$$
\nabla u\left(t_{k}\right) \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} \text { in }\left(L^{p}(\Omega)\right)^{n} \text { as } t_{k} \rightarrow t_{0} .
$$

Note, that since $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ we have $u(t) \rightarrow u\left(t_{0}\right)$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then for $\varphi \in(D(\Omega))^{n}$ we see

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u\left(t_{k}\right) \varphi d x=-\int_{\Omega} u\left(t_{k}\right) \nabla \varphi d x \rightarrow-\int_{\Omega} u\left(t_{0}\right) \nabla \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u\left(t_{0}\right) \varphi d x
$$

Thus we get that $\tilde{u}=\nabla u\left(t_{0}\right)$. Then by the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm we know that

$$
\left\|\nabla u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{p} \leq \liminf _{t_{k} \rightarrow t_{0}}\left\|\nabla u\left(t_{k}\right)\right\|_{p}
$$

Therefore, by (3.15) we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u\left(t_{k}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p} \rightarrow\left\|\nabla u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p} \text { as } t_{k} \rightarrow t_{0}, t_{0} \geq 0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.16) and the fact that $\nabla u\left(t_{k}\right) \rightharpoonup \nabla u\left(t_{0}\right)$ in $\left(L^{p}(\Omega)\right)^{n}$ we get that

$$
\left\|\nabla\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)-u\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right\|_{p}^{p} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } t_{k} \rightarrow t_{0}, t_{0} \geq 0
$$

Since the limit is unique and this holds for every subsequence, hence, we get the result. Uniqueness follows by the uniqueness result for a weak solution.

It is known [7, Lemma 6.1] that there exists a subsequence $t_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$ such that $u\left(t_{k}\right)$ convergenes to a stationary point in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. The last statement of the theorem can be obtained as in [7] using (3.10).

## 4. Local convergence results

Let us recall some results [7] on the associated stationary problem to the problem (1.1), that is the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\nabla \cdot a\left(\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}\right)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u=f & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \Gamma .\end{cases}
$$

Let $\varphi$ be the unique solution to

$$
\begin{cases}-\nabla \cdot|\nabla \varphi|^{p-2} \nabla \varphi=f & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4.2}\\ \varphi=0 & \text { on } \Gamma\end{cases}
$$

Then the stationary points are determined by the solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\mu)=\|\nabla \varphi\|_{p}^{p-1} \mu^{\frac{1}{p}-1}:=y(\mu) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if (4.3) admits a unique solution, so does the stationary problem, then for any initial data $u_{0}$ the solution $u(t)$ converges to this solution of the stationary problem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $p \geq 2, u_{*}$ be an isolated solution to the problem (4.1), corresponding to the solution $\mu_{*}$ of the equation (4.3). Assume that the function $a^{\prime}$ is continuous and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p}{p-1} a^{\prime}\left(\mu_{*}\right) \mu_{*}+a\left(\mu_{*}\right)=\delta>0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that if the initial value $u_{0} \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{*}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{*}\right):=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega):\left\|\nabla\left(u-u_{*}\right)\right\|_{p}<\varepsilon, E(u)<E\left(u_{*}\right)+\frac{\delta \varepsilon^{p}}{16(18)^{\frac{p}{2}}}\right\} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \rightarrow u_{*} \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set $\mathcal{E}(s)=E\left(u_{*}+s\left(u-u_{*}\right)\right)$. Then one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& E(u)-E\left(u_{*}\right)=\mathcal{E}(1)-\mathcal{E}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}(s) d s=\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{1}(1-s) \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}(s) d s  \tag{4.7}\\
&=\int_{0}^{1}(1-s) \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

since $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(0)=0$ due to the fact that $u_{*}$ is a stationary point.
Denote by $w=u-u_{*}$. After a simple computation we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}(s)= p a^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p-2} \nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right) \nabla w d x\right)^{2}  \tag{4.8}\\
&+a\left(\left\|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega}(p-2)\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p-4}\left(\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right) \nabla w\right)^{2}\right. \\
&\left.+\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p-2}|\nabla w|^{2} d x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If $a^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) \geq 0$ since $p \geq 2$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}(s) \geq a\left(\left\|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p-2}|\nabla w|^{2} d x . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark that by the Hölder and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p-2} \nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right) \nabla w d x\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{p-2+1}{p-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p-4}\left(\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right) \nabla w\right)^{2} d x \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p-1}\left((p-2) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p-4}\left(\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right) \nabla w\right)^{2} d x\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p-2}|\nabla w|^{2} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if $a^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)<0$ we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}(s) \geq\left(\frac{p}{p-1} a^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)\left\|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\right. & \left.+a\left(\left\|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
\times\left(\int _ { \Omega } ( p - 2 ) | \nabla ( u _ { * } + s w ) | ^ { p - 4 } \left(\nabla \left(u_{*}\right.\right.\right. & +s w) \nabla w)^{2} \\
& \left.+\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p-2}|\nabla w|^{2} d x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $a, b$ non negative numbers we have that

$$
\left|a^{p}-b^{p}\right| \leq p|a-b|\{a+b\}^{p-1}
$$

Then using the Hölder inequality for $s \in(0,1)$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left\|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}-\left\|\nabla u_{*}\right\|_{p}^{p}\right| \leq p s \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|\right.\left.+\left|\nabla u_{*}\right|\right)^{p-1}|\nabla w| d x \\
& \leq p| | \nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\left|+\left|\nabla u_{*}\right|_{p}^{p-1}\|\nabla w\|_{p}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by the continuity of $a^{\prime}$ and due to the assumption (4.4) from (4.9) and (4.10) we can deduce that there exists $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla w\|_{p} \leq \eta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}(s) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p-2}|\nabla w|^{2} d x \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. by (4.7) and Lemma 2.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(u)-E\left(u_{*}\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{*}+s w\right)\right|^{p-2}|\nabla w|^{2} d x d s \geq \frac{\delta}{16(18)^{\frac{p}{2}}}\|\nabla w\|_{p}^{p} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $\varepsilon<\eta$ such that $u_{*}$ is the unique stationary point in

$$
B_{\varepsilon}=\left\{u:\left\|\nabla\left(u-u_{*}\right)\right\|_{p}<\varepsilon\right\}
$$

(we can do this since $u_{*}$ is an isolated stationary point) and $u_{0} \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{*}\right)$. We introduce the set $A$ defined by

$$
A=\left\{t \in[0,+\infty) \mid u(t) \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{*}\right)\right\}
$$

Since $u \in C\left([0, T] ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ it is clear that $A$ contains a neighbourhood of 0 and is open. Denote by $t_{\infty}$ the point such that $t_{\infty}=\operatorname{Sup}\{t \mid[0, t) \subset A\}$. Let $t_{n}$ be a sequence in $A$ such that $t_{n} \rightarrow t_{\infty}, t_{n}<t_{\infty}$. Since $u \in C\left([0, T] ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ one has

$$
\left\|\nabla\left(u\left(t_{\infty}\right)-u_{*}\right)\right\|_{p} \leq \varepsilon<\eta
$$

Hence using the fact that $E$ is decreasing along the trajectories and (4.11), (4.12) we deduce that

$$
\frac{\delta}{16(18)^{\frac{p}{2}}}\left\|\nabla\left(u\left(t_{\infty}\right)-u_{*}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq E\left(u\left(t_{\infty}\right)\right)-E\left(u_{*}\right)<\frac{\delta}{16(18)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \varepsilon^{p}
$$

i.e. $t_{\infty} \in A$ and since $A$ is open we get a contradiction with the definition of $t_{\infty}$. Thus $t_{\infty}$ is not finite and $A=[0, \infty)$. So $u(t) \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{*}\right)$ for all $t$. From Theorem 3.1 we know that $u(t)$ converges to a stationary point. Since $u_{*}$ is the only stationary point in $B_{\varepsilon}$ then the result follows.

Remark 4.1. The assumption (4.4) is equivalent to

$$
a^{\prime}\left(\mu_{*}\right)>\frac{(1-p) a\left(\mu_{*}\right)}{p \mu_{*}}=y^{\prime}\left(\mu_{*}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow \mu_{*}} \frac{a(\mu)-a\left(\mu_{*}\right)+y\left(\mu_{*}\right)-y(\mu)}{\mu-\mu_{*}}>0
$$

and it holds that there exists $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
(a(\mu)-y(\mu))\left(\mu-\mu_{*}\right)>0 \quad \forall \mu \in\left(\mu_{*}-\alpha, \mu_{*}+\alpha\right), \mu \neq \mu_{*}
$$

that is we are in the case of Figure 1.1a.
Thus from Remark 4.1 we see that the stationary point $u_{*}$ corresponds to an isolated local minimum of the energy $E$ (see [7]). Therefore, Theorem 4.1 can be reformulated in Theorem 1.1.
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